(*e*) Whether a recommendation was not made for sanctioning this project after recovering the full amount of contribution at Rs. 15 per acre ?

(*f*) Whether it is not possible to undertake the survey of this project, when there is scope for increasing the present *atchkat* of 1,000 and odd acres by another 500 acres ?

(*g*) Whether it is not necessary and desirable that the dam is constructed and water diverted to the tank by means of a channel, so that there will be adequate supply of water for irrigation ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—45. (*a*) Remission of half wet assessment has been granted during the years 1925-26 and 1929-30.

(*b*) 1925-26, Rs. 2,131-13-0.
1929-30, Rs. 1,906-9-6.

(*c*) Government are not aware of any impoverishment of raiyats.

(*d*) An investigation of such a scheme was promised.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) Surveys are made and a project for Rs. 2,45,400 has been prepared.

(*g*) The project is considered unremunerative and has been ordered to lie over for the present.

MESSRS. G. SHANKARA SETTY and NAGARAJAIYA (Pavagada Taluk).—

Q.—46. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) If it has come to the notice of the Government that the Kodamadagu tank of the Pavagada Taluk does not receive sufficient supply of water ?

(*b*) If so, how many years is it since the tank discharged ?

(*c*) Have the raiyats of the said village petitioned the Government for the diversion of the Kadenki halla for supply of water to the above tank ?

(*d*) Was any investigation made on their petition ?

(*e*) Is it not necessary to create facilities for the supply of water to this tank ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—46. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Information is not available.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes. It is desirable but the diversion of the halla is not practicable as it will interfere with the supply to tanks lower down in British territory.

MR. K. NARAYANA SETTY (Tumkur Taluk).—

Q.—48. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) What were the assurances given to the raiyats when the Mydala Amanikere of the Tumkur Taluk was reserved for water supply to Tumkur town ?

(*b*) What extent of wet lands has been converted into dry ?

(*c*) Has sufficient water been let out for cultivation as promised by Government ?

(*d*) Is there any probability of reducing the assessment on lands irrigated by the tank water ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—48. (*a*) Government, in their Order No. L. 8577-81—Ml. 86-22-7, dated 24th April 1924, while according sanction to the Tumkur water supply scheme, have said that there would be no insufficiency of water to irrigate the valuable arecanut gardens of the Kyathasandra village though some lands in the tail end might feel the want of water and consequently will have to be converted into dry.

(*b*) No wet lands have been converted into dry.

(*c*) It is reported that no complaints have been received regarding the insufficiency and non-supply of water.

(*d*) No.

MR. T. MARIAPPA (Minority Interest).—

Q.—51. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The number of Amildars and Deputy Amildars in the State, castewar?

(*b*) Number directly recruited and the number promoted, castewar?